Central Intelligence Agency Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/04/10 : CIA-RI	II I FCIR 0P90T00114R000800060001-4
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Washington, D. C. 20505	DATE 3/13/87
	DATE 3/13/87 =1CE DOC NO SOV M 81-20023
	01R_3
DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE	P & PD /
9 March 1987	
Soviet Activism on Regional Issues	
Summary	
The Soviets have made several diplomatic moves over reassert themselves as an important player on Third Worl settlement issues. Initially, much of the Soviet effort counter what Moscow perceived as a growing US challenge an American attempt to seize the initiative in the interregional issues. More recently, the Soviets may have so of what they see as an impasse in US policy resulting fraffair. Objectives of Moscow's activism on regional issuited States exclusive "use" of the regional conflict if forums, building an improved Soviet image on regional set to promote better ties with pro-Western Third World state involvement in any regional negotiations that may emerge pressure in the West against military intervention in the	appeared designed to in the Third World and national arena on ught to take advantage om the Iran-Contra ues include denying the ssue in international attlements and using it es, securing Soviet 25X1, and building domestic e Third World.
To date the Kremlin has not shown any official sign change its substantive positions on regional issues. Evauch change, Moscow's activism could enable it to make a objectives if it succeeds in convincing Third World and Soviet sincerity in seeking settlements to regional confidence.	en in the absence of ains toward these Western audiences of
This memorandum was prepared by Off with contributions from the Third World Activities Divis and queries are welcome and may be directed to the Chief Activities Division, SOVA	', Third World
	M 87-20023
	25X1

So far, most Third World audiences are waiting to see what substance may
emerge from the Kremlin's entreaties. They are also waiting for the US policy
response, which will be a critical, perhaps decisive variable in determining
how successful the Soviet campaign on regional issues will be. Wide ranging
debate in the Soviet academic literature suggests that there is official
sanction for exploring new ideas on Third World issues, and that the
possibility of some change in the substance of Soviet policy on regional issues
should not be ruled out.

25X1

Soviet Activism on Regional Issues

Moves Moscow has made on regional issues include:

- o Heightening the visibility of diplomatic and propaganda efforts to associate the USSR with established regional settlement processes and ideas such as UN-sponsored activity on the Gulf War and Contadora.
- o Reviving calls for new regional negotiating forums, such as the vaguely defined Asian Security Conference, or putting new twists on old ideas, as in its proposals for a preparatory conference on the Middle East peace process.
- o Intensifying efforts to demonstrate its flexibility on the terms for a negotiated settlement of the Afghan war.
- o Attempting to improve ties to a wider range of Third World states involved in or affected by regional disputes, including Israel and several pro-Western states in Southeast Asia and Latin America.
- o Putting forward proposals for an "international security system" that would include, among other political, economic, and military components, some as yet vaguely defined processes and mechanisms for dealing with regional conflicts. Gorbachev described this concept for a broad audience in his speech to the recent Moscow "International Forum for a Nuclear-Free World."
- o Emphasizing in Soviet propaganda the contrast between the USSR's supposed peaceful intent and the Reagan administration's alleged aggressive policies in the Third World.

In addition, Moscow has shown continued willingness to engage the United States
in bilateral discussions on regional issues, which it seems to see as a means
to probe US thinking, bolster its claim that it is a superpower entitled to a
role in regional settlement issues, and enhance the credibility of its
assertions that the USSR favors negotiated settlements to regional conflicts.

25X1

The Context of Moscow's Moves

US Challenge in the Third World. By 1985, the Soviets had become concerned with renewed US military and political activism in the Third World, or, as they put it, "US neoglobalism." A wide variety of Soviet academic, journalistic, and political writings described an aggressive US effort to promote its interests throughout the Third Worldby implication at Soviet expenseusing a full range of military, economic, political, and intelligence instruments. Specific developments that probably contributed to Moscow's perception include what the Soviets likely saw as a US attempt in 1984 and 1985 to seize the political initiative on regional issues in the international arena and to complicate arms control negotiations by insisting on direct linkage between regional settlements and arms control. This was exemplified for Moscow by President Reagan's 1985 address to the United Nations General Assembly, which highlighted US interest in regional conflict issues on the eve of the Geneva meeting with Gorbachev. Also, the past few years saw increased US willingness to back militarily anti-Soviet forces in Nicaragua and Angola, continued support for the resistance in Afghanistan, and US use of military	
force in Libya and Grenada.	25 X 1
While the neoglobalism concept—which surfaced in the Soviet media in late 1985—was a useful propaganda theme, the extended discussion of the concept in a variety of vehicles suggests that its emergence also reflected genuine Soviet concern over the implications of US policy in the Third World for the USSR. Soviet activism on regional issues over the last year and a half probably was designed in part as a response to this perceived US challenge in the Third	
World.	25 X 1

Moscow's activism on regional issues probably is also aimed at exploiting US foreign policy difficulties in the wake of the Iran-Contra affair. While Soviet writings indicate that the ultimate effect of the affair on US policy is a subject of considerable debate among Soviet analysts, the Kremlin appears to see the problem as having created opportunities for Soviet image building at US expense, especially in the Middle East.

The Local Dimension. Several elements of the Soviet campaign on regional issues represent initiatives on and responses to specific local and regional circumstances, rather than policies aimed exclusively, or even primarily, at affecting East-West competition. Soviet interest in obtaining economic benefits from relations with relatively well-off countries such as Singapore and other ASEAN states, Argentina, Brazil, and Kuwait has played a strong part

3

25X1

25X1

in shaping Moscow's moves on regional issues. Also, the USSR has expanded its activity in areas where it perceives that political developments have opened new opportunities for increased Soviet influence, developments such as democratization in South America and the efforts of the South Pacific island states to diversify their economic and political relations. However, the broad geographic scope of the Kremlin's activism on regional issues and the emergence of some common patterns in the Soviet approach to the various areas suggests that more is involved in this activism than a series of discrete responses to local and regional circumstances.

25X1

Soviet Objectives

The objectives of Moscow's new activism on regional issues include:

- o Denying Washington the ability to use regional conflicts to its advantage in the international arena by portraying itself as the sole superpower interested in settling these conflicts.
- o Using an improved Soviet image on regional conflict and settlement issues to promote better economic and political ties with countries suspicious of the intentions of the USSR and its allies in a given region. For example, Kremlin success in convincing ASEAN states of its interest in a Cambodia settlement could reduce some of the longstanding political obstacles that have limited trade and bilateral relations between the USSR and these countries.
- o Securing Soviet involvement in any regional negotiations that may emerge. Moscow strongly resented US and Western attempts to isolate the USSR from past negotiations on the Middle East, Zimbabwe, and Namibia.
- o Building domestic political pressure in the West against military intervention in Third World conflicts by convincing Western audiences of the sincerity of Soviet interest in East-West cooperation in negotiated settlements to regional disputes.

25X1

As Yet No Change in Substance

To date the Kremlin has expressed general interest in negotiated settlements of several regional disputes, tried to highlight its own flexibility, especially on Afghanistan, and put forward sketchy proposals for some wider negotiating forums. However, the USSR has not shown any official signs of willingness to modify substantively its underlying positions on any of the regional disputes and has reiterated its support for its allies in these conflicts on numerous occasions. This overall pattern—change in style and image running well ahead of as yet relatively limited or even nonexistent change in policy substance—parallels many other aspects of Soviet policy under Gorbachev.

25X1

4

25X1

25X1

25X1

There is also no indication that the USSR will make concessions on
regional issues to promote its arms control objectives. While the Soviets have
acknowledged the importance of regional issues and the fact that they do have
an impact on wider questions of East-West security, a variety of Soviet
writings suggest that Moscow continues to view progress on superpower
disarmament as a prerequisite for, rather than a consequence of, effective
settlement of regional issues.
2000100010 01 10D201021 2000001

Implications for the United States

The Soviet regional issues campaign raises potential problems for the United States even in the absence of change in substance. For example, any Soviet success in convincing international audiences of Moscow's sincerity in promoting settlements to regional conflicts could open the way for improved relations between the USSR and pro-Western Third World states and potentially complicate these countries' relations with the United States. Such success could contribute to Third World, West European, and American domestic opposition to US military moves in the Third World in support of anti-Communist insurgents. Also, Moscow may be able to increase its ability to act as a "spoiler" on a wider variety of regional issues, cutting off Western options for promoting settlements solely under Western auspices. Finally, lack of effective US response to such developments over the long run could put Moscow in a position to assume the initiative in various regional settlement processes if our Third World allies lose patience with and faith in the United States.

It is difficult to predict at this point the extent to which Soviet activism on regional issues will lead to serious negative consequences for the United States--the US policy response is a critical, perhaps decisive variable in such a calculation. So far, most Third World audiences seem to have taken a wait-and-see attitude toward Moscow's entreaties on regional issues, presumably waiting for the "beef" behind the Soviet proposals. Indeed, if the propaganda and posturing aspects of its regional issues campaign continue to run so far ahead of the substantive ones, the USSR runs some risk of damaging its already less than solid credibility as a regional peacemaker in the eyes of many Third World states. The Kremlin presumably judges, however, that the prospective short- and medium-term payoffs of the campaign outweigh the potential long-run damage to its credibility.

Potential Changes in the Wind?

Regional conflict is one of the issues about which General Secretary Gorbachev has called for "new thinking" on the part of both East and West. According to Gorbachev, this thinking must take into account the realities of the nuclear era, during which Third World conflicts "assume dangerous proportions, involving more and more countries as their interests are affected directly or indirectly." This makes "settlement of regional conflicts...a dictate of our time."

5

25X1

As previously noted, little has emerged at an official level to suggest what substantive, as opposed to procedural and cosmetic, change the USSR might be willing to undertake to promote progess toward settlements. However, there are signs that there is official authorization for exploring in academic forums a variety of ideas relating to regional issues, many of which could have potential implications for Moscow's policy in the Third World. For example, US participants in unofficial talks with high-ranking Soviet academic specialists on the Third World have found the Soviets interested in discussing questions of national reconciliation and possible future political arrangements in Afghanistan. Moreover, there has been an extensive ongoing debate in the Soviet academic literature on questions such as the costs of supporting Marxist-Leninist client states, the benefits to be gained from better economic relations with the newly industrializing Third World countries, the outlook for "armed struggle" as an agent of change in the Third World, and the place that various regional settlement arrangements might have in the Soviets' proposed "international security system."

25X1

This ferment in the academic sphere cannot be read as an accurate indicator of specific directions that Soviet policy on regional issues might take—the spectrum of debate is too wide and the relationship of academicians to policymakers too problematic to allow for reliable predictions on specific policy issues. It does suggest, however, that no firm line exists on many of these issues, that Soviet policymakers are indeed looking to their specialists for new concepts and ideas on the Third World, and that substantive change in Moscow's regional policies may be something with which the United States will have to deal over the next few years.

Scorecard	Ωf	Soviet	Moves	on	Regional	Issues
Scorecard	OΙ	POATER	MOVES	OH	vegionai	Toonco

East Asia and Pacific

- o 1985-86 Moscow tempers statements on ASEAN, acknowledging it as a legitimate political organization rather than a tool of US imperialism.
- o May 1985 Gorbachev calls for an Asian Collective Security Conference.
- o April 1986 Soviet government statement proposes expansion of economic, scientific, and technical cooperation among Asian and Pacific countries, claiming that this would create preconditions for an "all-Asian forum" to resolve "contentious issues."
- o July 1986 Gorbachev, in a speech in Vladivostok, revives earlier calls for an Asian collective security conference, announces partial withdrawals of Soviet troops from Mongolia and Afghanistan, and makes conciliatory gestures toward China.
- o December 1986 The Soviets sign the protocols to the South Pacific Nuclear-Free Zone Treaty--with a loophole on future observance--and propagandize on US refusal to adhere to the treaty.

0	January 1987 - Soviet diplomats	express Soviet 25X	1
	interest in holding a regular dialogue with	ASEAN on economic and	
	political issues.	25)	< 1

Afghanistan

- o 1986 Soviets make a series of gestures intended to demonstrate their interest in an Afghan settlement and their flexibility. These gestures include Soviet willingness to see non-PDPA members in an Afghan government, the dropping of Kabul's demand for direct negotiations with the Pakistanis at Geneva, discussion of a timetable for Soviet withdrawal, and the announcement the supposed withdrawal of six Soviet regiments from Afghanistan. Moscow plays up each move in lobbying for support of its Afghan policy, especially among Third World nations and international organizations.
- o December 1986 Soviets propose an Afghan cease-fire to take effect in January 1987 and offer a "national reconciliation program" that opened the possibility of bringing resistance leaders into a coalition with the present regime.

25X1

South Asia

- o November 1986 Gorbachev and Indian Prime Minister Gandhi issue the Delhi Declaration as the centerpiece of the General Secretary's visit to Delhi, calling for the elimination of nuclear weapons and the adoption of peaceful coexistence of states with different social and political systems as the norm of international relations. Soviet commentators later state that the Delhi Declaration can serve as a model for Soviet relations with other non-socialist Third World countries.
- o January-February 1987 Soviets publicly suggest that they acted as peacemakers during the Indo-Pakistani border crisis. Moscow may indeed have acted to defuse tensions during the confrontation.

25X1

Middle East

- o March 1986 Gorbachev proposes that both the United States and the USSR simultaneously remove their fleets from the Mediterranean. The proposal followed US strikes on Libyan air defense systems.
- o July 1986 Gorbachev proposes that a "preparatory committee" -- to include the five permanent members of the UN Security Council and, possibly, other states -- meet to pave the way for an international conference on the Arab-Israeli dispute. Foreign Minister Shevardnadze formally proposes the preparatory committee idea before the United Nations General Assembly in September.
- o August 1986 Soviet and Israeli officials hold an unprecedented meeting in Helsinki to discuss bilateral consular issues. Though the meeting broke down quickly, the two sides have continued to pursue non-official contacts.
- o January 1987 Moscow issues official statements on both the Arab-Israeli peace process and the Iran-Iraq war proclaiming Soviet interest in promoting a political solution to the conflicts. Neither statement offers new concepts or policies.

25X1

Latin America

- o May 1986 Soviets make first attempt in several years to open diplomatic and economic relations with Guatemala.
- o November 1986 Soviet government statement accuses the United States of preparing for direct military intervention in Nicaragua and reaffirms Soviet solidarity with the Contadora process. Moscow makes a simultaneous demarche to the United States proposing bilateral talks on

January 1987 - Soviet Foreign Minister Shevardnadze meets with Latin		talks.		2
	merican diplomats representing the Contadora Group and Support Group			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	merican diplomats representing the Contadora Group and Support Group			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	merican diplomats representing the Contadora Group and Support Group			
American diplomats representing the Contadora Group and Support Group				

External Distribution

White House

1 Donald Gregg Assistant to the Vice President for National Security Affairs Room 298, Old EOB

NSC

- 1 Fritz W. Ermarth Special Assistant to the President European and Soviet Affairs Room 368, Old EOB
- Stephen Sestanovich Senior Director, Policy Development NSC Room 376A, Old EOB
- Paula J. Dobriansky Director, European and Soviet Affairs National Security Council Room 368, Old EOB
- Steven E. Steiner Director of International Communications and Information, NSC Room 351, EOB
- 1 Colonel Tyrus W. Cobb Director, European and Soviet Affairs National Security Council Room 361, Old EOB
- 1 Ronald C. St. Martin Senior Director, Crisis Management Center Room 303, Old EOB

External Distribution

DoD

- 1 Dr. Darnell Whitt Intelligence Adviser to the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy Room 4E838, The Pentagon
- Dr. Wynfred Joshua DIO for European and Soviet Political-Military Affairs Room 2C238, The Pentagon
- 1 Craig Alderman, Jr.
 Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Policy)
 Department of Defense
 Room 2E812, The Pentagon
- Brigadier General David S. Roe Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of European and Nato Policy, ISP Department of Defense Room 4D822, The Pentagon

State

- 1 The Honorable Michael H. Armacost Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs Room 7240, Department of State
- The Honorable Vernon A. Walters US Ambassador to the United Nations US Mission to the UN Department of State
- 1 Richard H. Solomon Director, Policy Planning Council Room 7311 Department of State
- 1 Ambassador Rozanne L. Ridgway Assistant Secretary of European and Canadian Affairs Room 6226 Department of State

External Distribution

- 1 Alan L. Keyes Assistant Secretary of International Organization Affairs Room 6323, Department of State
- 1 Elliot Abrams Assistant Secretary for Inter-American Affairs Room 7802 Department of State
- 1 Chester Crocker Assistant Secretary for African Affairs Room 6234A Department of State
- 1 Gaston Sigur Assistant Secretary for East Asian and Pacific Affairs Room 6205, Department of State
- 1 Ambassador H. Allen Holmes Director of Politico-Military Affairs Room 7327 Department of State
- Bruce Burton Office of Soviet Union Affairs Bureau of European Affairs Room 4219, Department of State
- Martha C. Mautner Deputy Director of Analysis for Soviet Union and Eastern Europe, INR Room 4758, Department of State
- 1 John F. Tefft
 EUR/SOV
 Room 4225
 Department of State
- 1 Mark R. Parris Director of Soviet Union Affairs European and Canadian Affairs Bureau Room 4217, Department of State

External Distribution

- 1 Ambassador Morton I. Abramowitz Director of Intelligence and Research Room 6531, Department of State
- 1 Richard Clarke Deputy Assistant Secretary for Regional Analysis, INR Room 6535, Department of State
- 1 Robert Baraz Director of Analysis for the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe Room 4758, Department of State
- Wayne Limberg
 Chief, INR/SEE/FP
 Room 4843
 Department of State

Internal Distribution:

- 1 ADCI
- 1 DDCI
- 1 SA/DCI
- 1 ED/DCI
- 1 ~ Executive Registry
- 1 DDI
- 1 Senior Review Panel
- 6 OCPAS/IMD/CB
- 1 Chairman, NIC
- 1 Vice Chairman, NIC
- 1 NIO/USSR
- 1 NIO/NESA
- 1 NIO/LA
- 1 NIO/EA
- l NIO/AG
- 1 PDB Staff
- 1 ILS
- 1 C/DO/SE
- 1 FBIS/AG
- 1 D/OEA
- 1 D/NESA
- L D/ALA
- 1 D/EURA
- 1 OGI
- 1 D/SOVA
- 1 DD/SOVA
- 1 EXO/SOVA
- 1 C/SOVA/ES/CIB
- l C/SOVA/SIG
- 1 C/SOVA/NIG
- 1 C/SOVA/DEIG
- 1 C/SOVA/RIG
- 1 C/SOVA/RIG/TWAD
- 1 C/SOVA/RIG/TWAD/ASIA
- 1 C/SOVA/RIG/TWAD/FA
- 1 C/SOVA/RIG/TWAD/AFLAME
- 1 C/SOVA/RIG/TWAD/AFLAME/Chrono
- 1 C/SOVA/RIG/TWAD/AFLAME

25X1